How to Break MD5 and other hash functions

Xiaoyun Wang and Hongbo Yu (China)

Presented by: Saar Benodiz May 2012



Outline

- Introduction
- Description of MD5
- Differential Attack for Hash Functions
- Message Modification
- Generate Messages
- Summary



Introduction

- MD5 was designed in 1992 as an improvement of MD4.
- In this lecture we present a new powerful attack on MD5 which allows us to find collisions efficiently.
- We used this attack to find collision of MD5 in about 15 minutes up to an hour computation time.



Introduction

- The attack is a differential attack, which unlike most differential attack, does not use the exclusive-or as a measure of difference, but instead uses also modular integer subtraction as the measure.
- An application of this attack to MD4 can find collision in less than a fraction of a second.
- This attack is also applicable to other hash functions, such as RIPEMD and HAVAL.



- Take messages of size up to 2⁶⁴ and outputs 128 bit.
- A message is padded so the length is a multiple of 512.
- Each 512 bit block is compressed individually

 $\begin{array}{c} m_1 \longrightarrow f \\ IV \longrightarrow f \end{array} \xrightarrow{m_2} f \\ f \longrightarrow f \end{array} \xrightarrow{m_3} f \\ f \longrightarrow f$

- (Merkle-Damgard)
- IV is 4 word each 32-bit a,b,c,d. The output of each f is a,b,c,d for next level.



- Let $h_{i-1} = (a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)$
- Let M_i message block be $M_i = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{15})$
- For i=0 to 63
- $\begin{aligned} &a_{i+1} = d_i \\ &d_{i+1} = c_i \\ &c_{i+1} = b_i \\ &b_{i+1} = b_i + (a_{i+}F_i(b_i,c_i,d_i) + w_{g(i)} + k_i) < < s_i \end{aligned}$

All additions are modulo 2³²

- For each f there are 4 rounds and each round has 16 steps
- For fixed i, 4 consecutive steps will yield

$$\begin{split} &a_{i+4} = b_i + ((a_i + F_i (b_i, c_i, d_i) + w_{g(i)} + k_i) < < s_i) \\ &d_{i+4} = a_i + ((d_i + F_{i+1} (a_i, b_i, c_i) + w_{g(i+1)} + k_{i+1}) < < s_{i+1}) \\ &c_{i+4} = d_i + ((c_i + F_{i+2} (d_i, a_i, b_i) + w_{g(i+2)} + k_{i+2}) < < s_{i+2}) \\ &b_{i+4} = c_i + ((b_i + F_{i+3} (c_i, d_i, a_i) + w_{g(i+3)} + k_{i+3}) < < s_{i+3}) \end{split}$$



- Each round, a different message word is used, a different round constant is used, and a different function and rotations, this provides non-linearity.
- $$\begin{split} F_i(X,Y,Z) &= (X^Y)v(\sim X^Z) & 0 \leq i \leq 15 \\ F_i(X,Y,Z) &= (X^Z)v(Y^2 \sim Z) & 16 \leq i \leq 31 \\ F_i(X,Y,Z) &= X \oplus Y \oplus Z & 32 \leq i \leq 47 \\ F_i(X,Y,Z) &= Y \oplus (X \lor \sim Z) & 48 \leq i \leq 63 \end{split}$$

Ki is constant that based on sin

Finding Collisions on MD5

- MD5 has a 128 bit hash so a brute force attack to find a collision requires at most 2¹²⁸ applications of MD5 and 2⁶⁴ by the birthday paradox
- In 1993, B. den Boer and A. Bosselaers found collision of the same message with two different sets of initial values.
- In 1996 H. Dobbertin presented collision of two different block with chosen IV

Finding Collisions on MD5

- Xiaoyun Wang and Hongbo Yu show an attack that requires 2³⁹ + 2³² MD5 operations
- This attack takes at most an hour and 5 minutes on a IBM P690 (supercomputer)
- we want to find a pair (M₀, M₁) and (M₀', M₁') such that:

 $\begin{aligned} (a,b,c,d) &= \mathrm{MD5}(a_0,b_0,c_0,d_0,M_0), \\ (a',b',c',d') &= \mathrm{MD5}(a_0,b_0,c_0,d_0,M_0'), \\ \mathrm{MD5}(a,b,c,d,M_1) &= \mathrm{MD5}(a',b',c',d',M_1'), \end{aligned}$

Differential Attack for Hash Functions

- The attack uses two types of differentials
- XOR differential: $\Delta X = X \oplus X'$
- Modular differential: $\Delta X = X' X \mod 2^{32} (2^{31} 2^{31})$
- The combination of both kinds of differences give us more information than each of them keep by itself.

Differential Attack for Hash Functions

- For example When X'-X= 2⁶ the xor diffrents can have many possibilities.
- 1.One-bit difference in bit 7, i.e., 0x00000040. In this case means that bit 7 in X' is 1 and bit 7 in X is 0.

X' = 0100 0000 X = 0000 0000

2.Two-bit difference, in which a different carry is transferred from bit 7 to bit 8, i.e., 0x000000C0. X' = 1000 0000 X = 0100 0000

Differential Attack for Hash Functions

- Xor difference is marked by the list of active bits with their relative sign ,For example, the difference -2⁶ [7,8,9,...22,-23] All bits of X from bit 7 to bit 22 are 0, and bit 23 is 1, while all bits of X` from bit 7 to bit 22 are 1, and bit 23 is 0.
- For M=(m₀,...,m_{n-1}) and M'=(m'₀,...m'_{n-1}) the full hash differential is:

 $\Delta H_0 \rightarrow \Delta H_1 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \Delta H_{n=} \Delta H$

If M and M' are a collision pair $\Delta H=0$



Round Differential

- Provided that the hash function as 4 rounds and each round as 16 step we can represent each function as:
- $\Delta H_i \rightarrow \Delta H_{i+1}$:
- $\Delta H_{i} \stackrel{P_{1}}{\longrightarrow} \Delta R_{i+1,1} \stackrel{P_{2}}{\longrightarrow} \Delta R_{i+1,2} \stackrel{P_{3}}{\longrightarrow} \Delta R_{i+1,3} \stackrel{P_{4}}{\longrightarrow} \Delta R_{i+1,4} = \Delta H_{i+1}$
- And each round as:
- $\Delta R_{j-1}^{P_{j,1}} > \Delta x_1^{P_{j,2}} > \dots^{P_{j,16}} > \Delta x_{16} = \Delta R_j$
- The probability P of $\Delta H_i \rightarrow \Delta H_{i+1}$ is:

$$P \geq \prod_{I=1}^{4} P_I \text{ and } P_I \geq \prod_{t=1}^{16} P_{I_t}$$



Round Differential

- Each of these differentials has a probabilistic relationship with the next.
- Ideally, we'd like to be able to set up 2 messages where we can guarantee with probability 1 that ΔH=0
- This can be assured by modifying M so the first round differential will be what you want
- More modifications will improve the probability for the second, third and fourth round differentials
- ΔM_0 has been picked to improve this as well

Differential Attack on MD5

- Find $M = (M_0, M_1)$ and $M' = (M'_0, M'_1)$
- $\Delta M_0 = M'_0 M_0 = (0,0,0,0,2^{31},0,0,0,0,0,0,0,2^{15},0,0,2^{31},0)$
- $\Delta M_1 = M'_1 M_1 = (0,0,0,0,2^{31},0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,-2^{15},0,0,2^{31},0)$
- $\Delta H_1 = (2^{31}, 2^{31} + 2^{25}, 2^{31} + 2^{25}, 2^{31} + 2^{25})$
- ΔM_0 has been picked to improve the probability that the round differentials will hold(ΔH_1).
- M'₀ differ in the 5th, 12th and 15th words only
- Same for M_1 and M'_1 .
- ΔM_1 has been selected not only to ensure both 3-4 round differentials will hold, but also to produce output difference that can be cancelled with the output difference ΔH_1

Table 3. The Differential Characteristics in the First Iteration Differential

	9 4	The sector of		_	A	The sector of the sector	The entered in (the steer for M/
	Step	The output	w_i	84	Δw_i	The output difference	The output in i -th step for M_0
		in z-th step	.			in z-th step	
	4	101 240					*
		01	m3	1	031	26	- 17 99 991
	0		11/4	10	2	-2	$u_2[r, \ldots, 22, -23]$
	7			12		72 + 2 + 2 1 $2^{6} + 2^{23} - 2^{27}$	$a_2 = 7, 24, 32$
	· ·		76	1.		-1-2+2 -2	22[7, 3, 5, 10, 11, -12, -24, -23, -20, -20]
	8			22		1 - 215 - 217 - 223	5-11 16 -17 18 10 20 -21 -241
				7		1 - 2 - 2 - 2 1 - 2 ⁶ + 2 ³¹	$a_2[1, 10, -11, 10, 13, 20, -21, -24],$
Stor	10		7748	19		1 - 2 + 2 912 ± 931	$d_3[-1, 2, 7, 6, -9, -32]$
	11			17		2 T 2 230 L 231	as[21 22]
step /			77810	10	015	$27 - 2^{3} - 2^{31}$	5-180 141020 221
	12		20010	7	-	-2 - 2 + 2 -24 ± 231	$a_{2}[0, -5, 14, \dots, 15, -20, 32]$
	14		11012	19		2 7 2	a [20, 20, 32]
	14		11613	12	031		a [4 12 20]
-Vaniahla fan M	10		1214	22	2	2 - 2 + 2 329 + 331	$c_4[4, -10, 32]$
g variable for Mo	17	04	////15	22		-2 + 2 031	e4[=30,32]
	18		<i>m</i> 1	0		2 931	a [99]
	10	45	<i>***</i> 6	14	115	al7 , a31	as[32]
	19	- C5	m_{11}	14	2	2 + 2 o ³¹	C5[10, 32]
	20	05	m_0	24		2 031	05[32]
Pre VVord for Mo	21	ae	m_5	5		233	a6[32]
	22	7 6	m_{10}	9		2	de[32]
	23	C6	77-15	14	031		C6
	24	<i>D</i> 6	m_4	20	2		06
	25	<u> </u>	m_9	5	031		a7
ift Rotation		a7	m_{14}	9	2		<i>d</i> ₇
	27	C7	m_3	14			C7
							*
	34	a ₉	m_8	11	0 Ib	231	d ₉
	35	<u> </u>	m_{11}	16	2.5	232	c9[*32]
Word Difference	36	59	m_{14}	23	2	222	bg[*32]
	37	<i>a</i> 10	m_1	4	~~	231	a10[*32]
	38	d10	m_4	11	2	222	d ₁₀ [*32]
	39	c10	m_7	16		231	c ₁₀ [*32]
/ariable Difference		a12	m_9	4		221	a ₁₂ [*32]
	46	d ₁₂	m_{12}	11		231	d ₁₂ [32]
	47	c12	m_{15}	16		231	c ₁₂ [32]
	48	b12	m_2	23		231	b12[32]
Variable for M.	49	a13	m_0	6		231	a13[32]
	50	d13	m_7	10	- 81	231	d ₁₃ [-32]
g variable for 1°10	51	C13	m_{14}	15	2^{31}	231	c13[32]
-	52	b13	M15	21		231	b13[-32]
	58	d_{15}	m_{15}	10		231	d ₁₅ [-32]
	59	C15	m_6	15		231	c15[32]
	60	b15	m_{13}	21		231	b15[32]
	61	$aa_0 = a_{16} + a_0$	m_4	6	2^{31}	231	$aa'_0 = aa_0[32]$
	62	$dd_0 = d_{16} + d_0$	m_{11}	10	215	231	$dd'_0 = dd_0[26, 32]$
	63	$cc_0 = c_{16} + c_0$	2712	15		231	$cc'_0 = cc_0[-26, 27, 32]$
	64	$bb_0 = b_{16} + b_0$	m_9	21		2 ³¹	$bb'_0 = bb_0[26, -32]$

Chaining

Messag

Shi

Message

Chaining V

Chaining



- Derive a set of sufficient conditions that guarantee the differential characteristic in Step 8 of MD5 (Table 3) to hold:
- The differential characteristic in Step 8 of MD5 is:

 $(\varDelta c_2, \varDelta d_2, \varDelta a_2, \varDelta b_1) \longrightarrow \varDelta b_2.$

- Each chaining variable satisfies one of the following equations.
- $a_{1,} b_{1,} c_{1,} d_{1}$ respectibely denote the outputs of the (4i-3)-th, (4i-2)-th, (4i-1)-th and 4i-th steps for compressing M wherere $1 \ge i \le 16$. $a_{1,} b_{1,} c_{1,} d_{1}$ are defined similarly

 $\begin{array}{l} b_1' = b_1 \\ a_2' = a_2[7,...,22,-23] \\ d_2' = d_2[-7,24,32] \\ c_2' = c_2[7,8,9,10,11,-12,-24,-25,-26,27,28,29,30,31,32,1,2,3,4,5,-6] \\ b_2' = b_2[1,16,-17,18,19,20,-21,-24] \end{array}$

2012/5/9

• According to the operations in the 8-th step, we have

$$b_{2} = c_{2} + ((b_{1} + F(c_{2}, d_{2}, a_{2}) + m_{7} + t_{7}) \lll 22$$

$$b_{2}' = c_{2}' + ((b_{1} + F(c_{2}', d_{2}', a_{2}') + m_{7}' + t_{7}) \lll 22$$

$$\phi_{7} = F(c_{2}, d_{2}, a_{2}) = (c_{2} \land d_{2}) \lor (\neg c_{2} \land a_{2})$$

In the above operations, c_2 occurs twice in the right hand side of the equation. In order to distinguish the two, let c_2^F denote the c_2 inside F, and c_2^{NF} denote the c_2 outside F.

The derivation is based on the following two facts:

- 1. Since $\Delta b_1 = 0$ and $\Delta m_7 = 0$, we know that $\Delta b_2 = \Delta c_2^{NF} + (\Delta \phi_7 \ll 22)$.
- 2. Fix one or two of the variables in F so that F is reduced to a single variable.

By the similar method, we can derive a set of sufficient conditions (see Table 4 and Table 6) which guarantee all the differential characteristics in the collision differential to hold.

Table 4

c_1	$c_{1,7} = 0, c_{1,12} = 0, c_{1,20} = 0$
	$b_{1,7} = 0, b_{1,8} = c_{1,8}, b_{1,9} = c_{1,9}, b_{1,10} = c_{1,10}, b_{1,1 _1} = c_{1,11}, b_{1,12} = 1, b_{1,13} = c_{1,13},$
b_1	$b_{1,14} = c_{1,14}, b_{1,15} = c_{1,15}, b_{1,16} = c_{1,16}, b_{1,17} = c_{1,17}, b_{1,18} = c_{1,18}, b_{1,19} = c_{1,19},$
	$b_{1,20} = 1, \ b_{1,21} = c_{1,21}, \ b_{1,22} = c_{1,22}, \ b_{1,23} = c_{1,23}, \ b_{1,24} = 0, \ b_{1,32} = 1$
	$a_{2,1} = 1, a_{2,3} = 1, a_{2,6} = 1, a_{2,7} = 0, a_{2,8} = 0, a_{2,9} = 0, a_{2,10} = 0, a_{2,11} = 0,$
a_2	$a_{2,12} = 0, a_{2,13} = 0, a_{2,14} = 0, a_{2,15} = 0, a_{2,16} = 0, a_{2,17} = 0, a_{2,18} = 0, a_{2,19} = 0,$
	$a_{2,20} = 0, a_{2,21} = 0, a_{2,22} = 0, a_{2,23} = 1, a_{2,24} = 0, a_{2,26} = 0, a_{2,28} = 1, a_{2,32} = 1$
	$d_{2,1} = 1, d_{2,2} = a_{2,2}, d_{2,3} = 0, d_{2,4} = a_{2,4}, d_{2,5} = a_{2,5}, d_{2,6} = 0, d_{2,7} = 1, d_{2,8} = 0,$
d_2	$d_{2,9} = 0, d_{2,10} = 0, d_{2,11} = 1, d_{2,12} = 1, d_{2,13} = 1, d_{2,14} = 1, d_{2,15} = 0, d_{2,16} = 1,$
	$d_{2,17} = 1, d_{2,18} = 1, d_{2,19} = 1, d_{2,20} = 1, d_{2,21} = 1, d_{2,22} = 1, d_{2,23} = 1, d_{2,24} = 0,$
	$d_{2,25} = a_{2,25}, d_{2,26} = 1, d_{2,27} = a_{2,27}, d_{2,28} = 0, d_{2,29} = a_{2,29}, d_{2,30} = a_{2,30},$
	$d_{2,31} = a_{2,31}, d_{2,32} = 0$
	$c_{2,1} = 0, c_{2,2} = 0, c_{2,3} = 0, c_{2,4} = 0, c_{2,5} = 0, c_{2,6} = 1, c_{2,7} = 0, c_{2,8} = 0, c_{2,9} = 0,$
c_2	$c_{2,10} = 0, c_{2,11} = 0, c_{2,12} = 1, c_{2,13} = 1, c_{2,14} = 1, c_{2,15} = 1, c_{2,16} = 1, c_{2,17} = 0,$
	$c_{2,18} = 1, c_{2,19} = 1, c_{2,20} = 1, c_{2,21} = 1, c_{2,22} = 1, c_{2,23} = 1, c_{2,24} = 1, c_{2,25} = 1,$
	$c_{2,26} = 1, c_{2,27} = 0, c_{2,28} = 0, c_{2,29} = 0, c_{2,30} = 0, c_{2,31} = 0, c_{2,32} = 0$
	$b_{2,1} = 0, b_{2,2} = 0, b_{2,3} = 0, b_{2,4} = 0, b_{2,5} = 0, b_{2,6} = 0, b_{2,7} = 1, b_{2,8} = 0, b_{2,9} = 1,$
b_2	$b_{2,10} = 0, \ b_{2,11} = 1, \ b_{2,12} = 0, \ b_{2,14} = 0, \ b_{2,16} = 0, \ b_{2,17} = 1, \ b_{2,18} = 0, \ b_{2,19} = 0,$
	$b_{2,20} = 0, b_{2,21} = 1, b_{2,24} = 1, b_{2,25} = 1, b_{2,26} = 0, b_{2,27} = 0, b_{2,28} = 0, b_{2,29} = 0, $
	$b_{2,30} = 0, b_{2,31} = 0, b_{2,32} = 0$
	$a_{3,1} = 1, a_{3,2} = 0, a_{3,3} = 1, a_{3,4} = 1, a_{3,5} = 1, a_{3,6} = 1, a_{3,7} = 0, a_{3,8} = 0, a_{3,9} = 1,$
a_3	$a_{3,10} = 1, a_{3,11} = 1, a_{3,12} = 1, a_{3,13} = b_{2,13}, a_{3,14} = 1, a_{3,16} = 0, a_{3,17} = 0, a_{3,18} = 0,$
	$a_{3,19} = 0, a_{3,20} = 0, a_{3,21} = 1, a_{3,25} = 1, a_{3,26} = 1, a_{3,27} = 0, a_{3,28} = 1, a_{3,29} = 1,$
	$a_{3,30} = 1, a_{3,31} = 1, a_{3,32} = 1$
d_3	$d_{3,1} = 0, d_{3,2} = 0, d_{3,7} = 1, d_{3,8} = 0, d_{3,9} = 0, d_{3,13} = 1, d_{3,14} = 0, d_{3,16} = 1,$
	$d_{3,17} = 1, d_{3,18} = 1, d_{3,19} = 1, d_{3,20} = 1, d_{3,21} = 1, d_{3,24} = 0, d_{3,31} = 1, d_{3,32} = 0$
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Message Modification

- It is easy to modify M₀ such that the conditions of round 1 in Table 4 hold with probability 1
- For example We want $c_{1,7} = 0$, $c_{1,12} = 0$, $c_{1,20} = 0$ So we modify m_2 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^{new} &\leftarrow c_1^{old} - c_{1,7}^{old} \cdot 2^6 - c_{1,12}^{old} \cdot 2^{11} - c_{1,20}^{old} \cdot 2^{19} \\ m_2^{new} &\leftarrow ((c_1^{new} - c_1^{old}) \ggg 17) + m_2^{old}. \end{aligned}$$

Message Modification

- By modifying each message word of message m₀, all the conditions in round 1 of Table 4 hold (first 16 step). The first iterations differential hold with probability 2⁻⁴³.
- The same modification is applied to m_1 ,After modification, the second iterations differential hold with probability 2^{-37} .

Multi-Message Modification

- It is even possible to fulfill a part of the conditions of the first 32 steps by a multimessage modification.
- For example, $a_{5,32} = 1$, we correct it into $a_{5,32} = 0$ by modifying m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5 such that the modification generates a partial collision from 2-6 steps, and remains that all the conditions in round 1 hold.
- Some other conditions can be corrected by the similar modification technique.

Message Modification

- By our modification, 37 conditions in round 2-4 are undetermined in the table 4, and 30 conditions in round 2-4 are undetermined in the table 6.
- So the first iteration differential hold with probability 2⁻³⁷.
- The second iteration differential hold with probability 2⁻³⁰.

Generate M₀₊M`₀

- Select random message M₀
- Modify M_0 so it meets the conditions
- $M_0' = M_0 + \Delta M_0$
- This will result in ΔH_1 with probability 2⁻³⁷
- Test the messages on MD5.
- This doesn't require more then 2³⁹ MD5 operations

Generate M₁₊M[•]₁

- Select random message M₁
- Modify M_1 so it meets the conditions
- $M_1' = M_1 + \Delta M_1$
- Use ΔH_1 as IV , The probability that $\Delta H = 0$ is 2^{-30}
- Test if the messages lead to a collision.
- This doesn't require more then 2³² MD5 operations

Creating More Collisions

- To select another message M₀ is only to change the last two words from the previous selected message M_{0.}
- it is easy to find many second blocks M₁, M¹ which lead to collisions.



Summary

- This paper described a powerful attack against hash functions, and in particular showed that finding a collision of MD5 is easily feasible.
- This attack is also able to break efficiently other hash functions, such as HAVAL-128, MD4, RIPEMD, and SHA-0.



References

- How To Break MD5 and Other Hash Functions – Xiaoyun Wang and Hongbo Yu
- www.cs.virginia.edu/cs588/lectures/md5collisions.ppt