THE REBOUND ATTACK:

CRYPTANALYSIS OF REDUCES WHIRLPOOL AND GRØSTL BY MENDEL, RECHBERGER, SCHLAFFER AND THOMSEN

Seminar Presentation by Dikla Bruker

Whirlpool -

- Introduction
- Advanced Encryption Standard
- Whirlpool Block Cipher
- Rebound Attack on Whirlpool
- Grøstl Block Cipher
- Summery

Introduction

- Advanced Encryption Standard
- Whirlpool Block Cipher
- Rebound Attack on Whirlpool
- Grøstl Block Cipher
- □ Summery





1 byte = 8 bit Each byte is represented by 2 hexadecimal digits 1 word = 4 byte = 32 bit 1 block = 4 words = 128 bit



Introduction

Advanced Encryption Standard

- Whirlpool Block Cipher
- Rebound Attack on Whirlpool
- Grøstl Block Cipher
- □ Summery





We interpret the byte as two hexadecimal digits.







Introduction

- Advanced Encryption Standard
- Whirlpool Block Cipher
- Rebound Attack on Whirlpool
- Grøstl Block Cipher
- □ Summery

The Whirlpool Block Cipher

 first released in 2000 by Vincent Rijmen and Paulo S. L. M. Barreto.
 Since then a few revisions have taken place.

- Free, Whirlpool's designers have promised never to patent
- Named after the Whirlpool washing machine – Not!



The Whirlpool Block Cipher

- first released in 2000 by Vincent Rijmen and Paulo S. L. M. Barreto.
 Since then a few revisions have taken place.
- Free, Whirlpool's designers have promised never to patent
- Named after the Whirlpool galaxy, the first one recognized to have spiral structure by William Parsons, third Earl of Rosse





This hash function is based on the the Merkle-Damgård scheme we already seen in class strengthening and the Miyaguchi-Preneel hashing scheme

The message is padded with a '1'-bit, then with a sequence of '0'-bits, and finally with the original length (in the form of a 256-bit integer value). The length after padding is a multiple of 512 bits.

The resulting message string is divided into a sequence of 512-bit blocks $m_1, m_2, \dots m_t$ which is then used to generate a sequence of intermediate hash values $H_0, H_1, H_2, \dots H_t$. By definition, H_0 is a string of 512 '0'-bits.

To compute H_i , the block cipher

W encrypts m_i using H_{i-1} as key, and XORs the resulting ciphertext with both H_{i-1} and m_i . Finally, the **WHIRLPOOL** message digest is H_t .

The encryption algorithm is described in the next slide.



Whirlpool Cipher is very much like AES except minor differences:

- 1. The message length is 512 bit
- 2. Number of rounds is always 10
- 3. Key expansion is done in the round function and not in a dedicated algorithm
- 4. The S-Box in SybBytes is different
- 5. Instead of Shift Rows and Mix Columns we have Shift Columns and Mix Row

	Table 1. Comparison of Whirlpool block cipher W and AES					
		W	AES			
	Block size (bits)	512	128			
	Key size (bits)	512	128, 192, or 256			
	Matrix orientation	input is mapped row-wise	Input is mapped column-wise			
	Number of rounds	10	10, 12, or 14			
	Key expansion	W round function	dedicated expansion algorithm			
	$GF(2^8)$ polynomial	$x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1$ (011D)	$x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1$ (011B)			
	Origin of S-box	recursive structure	multiplicative inverse in $GF(2^8)$ plus affine transformation			
	Origin of round constants	successive entries of the S-box	elements 2^i of $GF(2^8)$			
	Diffusion layer	right multiplication by 8×8 circulant MDS matrix	left multiplication by 4×4 circulant MDS metric (2, 2, 1, 1)			
		(1, 1, 4, 1, 6, 5, 2, 9) -	mbs matrix $(2, 3, 1, 1)$ -			
	Permutation	shift columns	shift rows			
18	The Whir	lpool Block C	ipher			
	What goes on inside the blocks?					

Introduction

- Advanced Encryption Standard
- Whirlpool Block Cipher
- Rebound Attack on Whirlpool
- Grøstl Block Cipher
- □ Summery





First, we will give an overview of the attack strategy which is the basis for the attacks on 4.5, 5.5 and 7.5 rounds.

The main idea of the attacks is to use a 4-round differential trail, which has the following sequence of active S-boxes: $1 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 64 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 1$

Using the Rebound Attack we can cover the most expensive middle part using an efficient match-in-the-middle approach (inbound phase).

If the differences in the first and last step are identical, they cancel each other through the feed-forward. The result is a collision of the round-reduced compression function of Whirlpool.



Inbound phase:

Step 1: start with 8-byte truncated differences at the MixRows layer of round r2 and r3, and propagate forward and backward to the S-box layer of round r3.

Step 2: connect the input and output of the S-boxes of round r3 to form the three middle states $8 \rightarrow 64 \rightarrow 8$ of the trail. Outbound phase

Step 3: extend the trail both forward and backward to give the trail $1\rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 64 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 1$ through MixRows in a probabilistic way.

Step 4: link the beginning and the end of the trail using the feed-forward of the hash function.



The provability can be verified by enumerating through all 256x256 input/output pairs (x; y) and (S(x); S(y)).

Note that for each possible S-box differential, we get at least the two symmetric values (x; y) and (y; x).

The table: The number of differentials and possible pairs (x; y) for the Whirlpool and AES S-boxes. The first row shows the number of impossible differentials and the last row corresponds to the zero differential.

In the case of Whirlpool, we get for a small fraction of differentials even 8 possible pairs. This corresponds to the maximum probability distribution of the Whirlpool S-box, which is 8*2^8= 2^5



We start the attack by choosing a random difference with 8 active bytes of state S2" prior to the MixRows layer of round r2. Note that all active bytes have to be in the diagonal of state S2". Then, the differences propagate forward to a full active state at the input of the next SubBytes layer (state) with a probability of 1. Next, we start with another difference and 8 active bytes in state S3" after the MixRows transformation of round r3 and propagate backwards. Again, the diagonal shape ensures that we get a full active state at the output of SubBytes of round r3.



We look for a matching input/output difference of the SubBytes layer of round r3 using the pre-computed S-box differential table.

Since we can find a match with a probability of 0.5 for each byte, we can find a differential for the whole active SubBytes layer with a probability of about 2^-64. Hence, after repeating Step 1 of the attack about 2^64 times, we expect to find a SubBytes differential for the whole state.

Each match gets 2-8 posibilities. Since we get at least two state values for each S-box match, we get about 2^64 starting points for the outbound phase



In the outbound phase, we further extend the differential path backward and forward. By propagating the matching differences and state values through the next SubBytes layer, we get a truncated differential in 8 active bytes for each direction. Next, the truncated differentials need to follow a specific active byte pattern. In the case of the 4 round Whirlpool attack, the truncated differentials need to propagate from 8 to one active byte through the MixRows transformation, both in the backward and forward direction. The propagation of truncated differentials through the MixRows transformation is modeled in a probabilistic way. The transition from 8 active bytes to one active byte through the MixRows transformation has a probability of about 2^-56 (7 bytes * 8 bits).

Note that we require a specific position of the single active byte to find a match in the feed-forward (Step 4). Since we need to fulfill one 8 -> 1 transitions in the backward and forward direction, the probability of the outbound phase is $2^{-2*56} = 2^{-112}$. In other words, we have to repeat the inbound phase about 2^{112} times to generate 2^{112} starting points for the outbound phase of the attack.



To construct a collision at the output of this 4 round compression function, the exact value of the input and output difference has to match.

Since only one byte is active, this can be fulfilled with a probability of 2^-8. Hence, the complexity to find a collision for 4 rounds of Whirlpool is 2^(112+8)=2^120. Note that we can add half of a round (SB,SC) at the end for free, since we are only interested in the number of active bytes. Remember that we can construct up to 2^128 starting points in the inbound phase of the attack, hence we have enough degrees of freedom for the attack. Note that the values of the key schedule are not influenced.



We can extend the collision attack on 4.5 rounds to a semi-free-start collision attack on 5.5 rounds of Whirlpool. The idea is to add another full active state in the middle of the trail. We use the additional degrees of freedom of the key schedule to fulfill the difference propagation through two full active S-box trans-formations.

Note that the outbound part of the attack stays the same and the new sequence of active S-boxes is: 1->8->64->64->8->1->1



Again, we can choose from up to 2^64 initial differences with 8 active bytes at state S2" and S4" and linearly propagate forward toS2 and backward to S4 until we hit the first S-box layer. Then, we need to find a matching SubBytes differential of two consecutive S-box layers in the match-in-the-middle phase



To pass the S-box of round r4 in the backward direction, we choose one of 2^512 possible values for state S4'.

This also determines the input values and differences of the SubBytes layer (state S3). Then, we propagate the difference further back to state S3' with 512 degrees of freedom of the key.

That allows us to still assign arbitrary values to the state S3'.

Hence, the correct difference propagation of the S-box in round r3 can be fulfilled by using these additional degrees of freedom to choose the state S3'.

The complexity of the attack does not change and is determined by the 2^120 trials of the outbound phase



The complexity of the attack does not change and is determined by the 2^120 trials of the outbound phase

The outbound phase (Step 3 and Step 4) of the 5.5 round attack is equivalent to the 4.5 round case.

However, we cannot choose the round keys, and hence the chaining values, anymore since they are determined by the difference propagation of the S-box of round r3.

Therefore, this 5.5 round attack is only a semi-free-start collision attack on the hash function of Whirlpool.

Introduction

- Advanced Encryption Standard
- Whirlpool Block Cipher
- Rebound Attack on Whirlpool
- Grøstl Block Cipher
- Rebound Attack on Grøstl
- □ Summery

Introduction

- Advanced Encryption Standard
- Whirlpool Block Cipher
- Rebound Attack on Whirlpool
- Grøstl Block Cipher
- □ Summery





The two permutations P and Q are constructed using the wide trail design strategy and borrow components from the AES. The design of the two permutations

is very similar to the block cipher W used in Whirlpool instantiated with a fixed key input. Both permutations update an 8x8 state of 64 bytes in 10 rounds each.



AddRoundConstant (AC) adds different one-byte round constants to the 8x8 states of P and Q. (P & Q have different constants)

the non-linear layer SubBytes (SB) applies the AES S-Box to each byte of the state independently

The cyclical permutation ShiftBytes (ShB) rotates the bytes of row j left by j positions in P, Q

the linear diffusion layer MixBytes (MB) multiplies the state by a constant matrix In the MixBytes transformation, each column in the matrix is transformed independently by multiplying each column in a constant 8x8 matrix,



In the attack on 5 rounds, we use the following differential trail for both permutations: 8->8->64->8->64

Do not allow diffs in H. all diffs are in m.

By using an equivalent differential trail in the second permutation one can find a collision for the compression function of Grstl-256 reduced to 5 rounds with a complexity of 2^64 Step 1 and 2 the same as whirlpool only on 2 permutations

Require that the differential output of round 5 are equal

To prevent feed forwards to destroy the collision do not allow differences in H

Introduction

- Advanced Encryption Standard
- Whirlpool Block Cipher
- Rebound Attack on Whirlpool
- Grøstl Block Cipher
- Summery

Somme	ery			
The idea parts of	of the rel a hash fur	bound attack action differe	is to bypass ntial trail	the low probability
	Laboration and an entertain		like natural	candidates for such an
AES-base attack.	d hash fu	inctions seem	ince individu	
 AES-base attack. Can this a 	d hash fu 1ttack be	applied to a	wider rang	e of hash functions?
 AES-base attack. Can this a hash function 	d hash fu attack be rounds	applied to a computational complexity	wider rang	e of hash functions?
 AES-base attack. Can this a hash function Whirlpool 	rounds 4.5/10 5.5/10 7.5/10	applied to a computational complexity 2 ¹²⁰ 2 ¹²⁰ 2 ¹²⁰	memory requirements 2 ¹⁶ 2 ¹⁶ 2 ¹⁶	e of hash functions? type collision semi-free-start collision semi-free-start near-collision

ſ

