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## Topics

- PCA
- MDS
- IsoMap
- LLE
- EigenMaps


## Types of Structure in High Dimension

- Clumps
- Clustering
- Density Estimation

- Low Dimensional Manifolds
- Linear
- NonLinear



## Dimensionality Reduction

- Data representation

Inputs are real-valued vectors in a high dimensional space.

- Linear structure

Does the data live in a low dimensional subspace?

- Nonlinear structure

Does the data live on a low dimensional submanifold?


## Dimensionality Reduction

- Question

How can we detect low dimensional structure in high dimensional data?

- Applications
- Digital image and speech processing
- Analysis of neuronal populations
- Gene expression microarray data
- Visualization of large networks


## Notations

- Inputs (high dimensional)
$x_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{n}$ points in $R^{\mathrm{D}}$
© Outputs (low dimensional)

$$
\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_{n} \text { points in } \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{~d} \ll \mathrm{D})
$$

- Goals

Nearby points remain nearby.
Distant points remain distant.

## Linear Methods

- PCA
- MDS


## Principle Component Analysis

good representation

the projected data has a fairly large variance, and the points tend to be far from zero.
poor representation

the projections have a significantly smaller variance, and are much closer to the origin.

## Principle Component Analysis



- Seek most accurate data representation in a lower dimensional space.
- The good direction/subspace to use for projection lies in the direction of largest variance.


## Maximum Variance Subspace

- Assume inputs are centered: $\sum_{i} x_{i}=0$
- Given a unit vector $u$ and a point $x$, the length of the projection of x onto u is given by $x^{T} u$
- Maximize projected variance:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{var}(y)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}\left(x_{i}^{T} u\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} u^{T} x_{i} x_{i}^{T} u \\
& =u^{T}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} x_{i} x_{i}^{T}\right) u
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1D Subspace

- Maximizing $u^{T} C u$ subject to $\|\mathrm{u}\|=1$
where $C=n^{-1} \sum_{i} x_{i} x_{i}^{T}$ is the empirical
covariance matrix of the data, gives the principle eigenvector of $C$.


## d-dimensional Subspace

- to project the data into a d-dimensional subspace (d <<D), we should choose $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}$ to be the top d eigenvectors of $C$.
- $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}$ now form a new, orthogonal basis for the data.
- The low dimensional representation of x is given by

$$
y_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{1}^{T} x_{i} \\
u_{2}^{T} x_{i} \\
\vdots \\
u_{k}^{T} x_{i}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathfrak{R}^{d} .
$$

## Interpreting PCA

- Eigenvectors:
principal axes of maximum variance subspace.
- Eigenvalues:
variance of projected inputs along principle axes.
- Estimated dimensionality:
number of significant (nonnegative) eigenvalues.


## PCA summary

$$
\text { Input: } z_{i} \in R^{D}, i=1, . ., n \quad \text { Output: } y_{i} \in R^{d}, i=1, . ., n
$$

1. Subtract sample mean from the data

$$
x_{i}=z_{i}-\hat{\mu}, \quad \hat{\mu}=1 / n \sum_{i} z_{i}
$$

2. Compute the covariance matrix

$$
C=1 / n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} x_{i}^{t}
$$

3. Compute eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \boldsymbol{e}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{e}_{d}$ corresponding to the $\boldsymbol{d}$ largest eigenvalues of $C(\mathrm{~d} \ll \mathrm{D})$.
4. The desired $y$ is

$$
y=P^{t} x, P=\left[e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}\right]
$$

## Equivalence

- PCA finds the directions that have the most variance.

$$
\operatorname{var}(y)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}\left\|P^{T} x_{i}\right\|^{2}
$$

- Same result can be obtained by minimizing the squared reconstruction error.

$$
\operatorname{err}(y)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}\left\|x_{i}-P P^{T} x_{i}\right\|^{2}
$$

## Example of PCA



Eigenvectors and eigenvalues of covariance matrix for $n=1600$ inputs in $d=3$ dimensions.

## Example: faces



Eigenfaces from 7562 Images:
top left image
is linear
combination of the rest.
Sirovich \& Kirby (1987)
Turk \& Pentland (1991)

## Properties of PCA

- Strengths:
- Eigenvector method
- No tuning parameters
- Non-iterative
- No local optima
- Weaknesses:

- Limited to second order statistics
- Limited to linear projections


## Multidimensional Scaling (MDS)

- MDS attempts to preserve pairwise distances.
- Attempts to construct a configuration of $n$ points in Euclidian space by using the information about the distances between the $n$ patterns.


## Example : Distances between US Cities

|  | BOS | CHI | DC | DEN | LA | MIA | NY | SEA | SF |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BOS | 0 | 963 | 429 | 1,949 | 2,979 | 1,504 | 206 | 2,976 | 3,095 |
| CHI | 963 | 0 | 671 | 996 | 2,054 | 1,329 | 802 | 2,013 | 2,142 |
| DC | 429 | 671 | 0 | 1,616 | 2,631 | 1,075 | 233 | 2,684 | 2,799 |
| DEN | 1,949 | 996 | 1,616 | 0 | 1,059 | 2,037 | 1,771 | 1,307 | 1,235 |
| LA | 2,979 | 2,054 | 2,631 | 1,059 | 0 | 2,687 | 2,786 | 1,131 | 379 |
| MIA | 1,504 | 1,329 | 1,075 | 2,037 | 2,687 | 0 | 1,308 | 3,273 | 3,053 |
| NY | 206 | 802 | 233 | 1,771 | 2,786 | 1,308 | 0 | 2,815 | 2,934 |
| SEA | 2,976 | 2,013 | 2,684 | 1,307 | 1,131 | 3,273 | 2,815 | 0 | 808 |
| SF | 3,095 | 2,142 | 2,799 | 1,235 | 379 | 3,053 | 2,934 | 808 | 0 |



## Multidimensional Scaling (MDS)

- A $n \times n$ matrix $\mathcal{D}$ is called a distance or affinity matrix if it is symmetric, $\mathrm{d}_{i i}=0$, and $\mathrm{d}_{i j}>0, \quad i \neq j$.
- Given a distance matrix $\mathcal{D}^{(X)}$, MDS attempts to find $n$ data points $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ in $d$ dimensions, such that if $d_{i j}^{(Y)}$ denotes the Euclidean distance between $y_{i}$ and $y_{j}$, then $\mathcal{D}^{Y}$ is similar to $\mathcal{D}^{(X)}$.


## Metric MDS

- Metric MDS minimizes

$$
\min _{Y} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(d_{i j}^{(X)}-d_{i j}^{(Y)}\right)^{2}
$$

where

$$
d_{i j}^{(X)}=\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\| \quad \text { and } \quad d_{i j}^{(Y)}=\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|
$$

## Metric MDS

- The distance matrix $D^{(X)}$ can be converted to a Gram matrix $K$ by

$$
K=-\frac{1}{2} H\left(D^{(X)}\right)^{2} H
$$

where $H=I-\frac{1}{n} e e^{T}$ and $e$ is the vector of ones.

## Metric MDS

- $K$ is p.s.d, thus it can be written as $K=X^{T} X$
- $\min _{Y} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(d_{i j}^{(X)}-d_{i j}^{(Y)}\right)^{2}$ is equivalent to $\min _{Y} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}^{T} x_{j}-y_{i}^{T} y_{j}\right)^{2}$
- The norm can be converted to a trace:

$$
\min _{Y} \operatorname{Tr}\left(X^{T} X-Y^{T} Y\right)^{2}
$$

## Metric MDS

- Using Singular Value Decomposition we can decompose:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{T} X=V \Lambda V^{T} \\
& Y^{T} Y=Q \hat{\Lambda} Q^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since $Y^{T} Y$ is p.s.d., $\hat{\Lambda}$ has no negative values, thus

$$
Y=\hat{\Lambda}^{1 / 2} Q^{T}
$$

## Metric MDS

- Returning to the minimization, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{Q, \hat{\Lambda}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(V \Lambda V^{T}-Q \hat{\Lambda} Q^{T}\right)^{2} \\
& =\min _{Q, \Lambda} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Lambda-V^{T} Q \hat{\Lambda} Q^{T} V\right)^{2} \\
& =\min _{G, \hat{\Lambda}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Lambda-G \hat{\Lambda} G^{T}\right)^{2} \\
& =\min _{G, \hat{\Lambda}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Lambda^{2}+G \hat{\Lambda} G^{T} G \hat{\Lambda} G^{T}-2 \Lambda G \hat{\Lambda} G^{T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Metric MDS

- For a fixed $\hat{\Lambda}$ we can minimize for $G$, obtaining

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G=I \\
& \min _{\hat{\Lambda}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Lambda^{2}+\hat{\Lambda}^{2}-2 \Lambda \hat{\Lambda} G\right) \\
& =\min _{\hat{\Lambda}} \operatorname{Tr}(\Lambda-\hat{\Lambda})^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Metric MDS

- To make the two matrices $\Lambda$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$ similar, we can make $\hat{\Lambda}$ to be the top d diagonal elements of $\Lambda$.
- Also $G=V^{T} Q$ and $G=I$ imply that $V=Q$.
- Therefore,

$$
Y=\hat{\Lambda}^{1 / 2} Q^{T} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad Y=\hat{\Lambda}^{1 / 2} V^{T}
$$

where $V$ comprises the eigenvectors of $X^{T} X$ corresponding to the top $d$ eigenvalues and $\hat{\Lambda}$ comprises the top $d$ eigenvalues of $X^{T} X$.

## Interpreting MDS

- Eigenvectors:

Ordered, scaled, and truncated to yield low dimensional embedding.

- Eigenvalues:

Measure how each dimension contributes to dot products.

- Estimated dimensionality:

Number of significant (nonnegative) eigenvalues.

## Relation to PCA

|  | PCA | MDS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Spectral <br> Decomposition | Covariance <br> matrix $(D \times D)$ | Gram matrix <br> $(n \times n)$ |
| Eigenvalues | Matrices share nonzero eigenvalues <br> up to constant factor |  |
| Results | Same |  |
| Computation | $\boldsymbol{O}\left((n+d) D^{2}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{O}\left((\boldsymbol{D}+d) n^{2}\right)$ |

## Non-Metric MDS

- Transform pairwise distances: $\delta_{i j} \rightarrow g\left(\delta_{i j}\right)$
- Transformation: nonlinear, but monotonic.
- Preserves rank order of distances.
- Find vectors $y_{i}$ such that $\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\| \approx g\left(\delta_{i j}\right)$

$$
\text { Cost }=\min _{y} \sum_{i j}\left(g\left(\delta_{i j}\right)-\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|\right)^{2}
$$

## Non-Metric MDS

- Possible objective function:

$$
\operatorname{Cost}=\sum_{i j}\left(\frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\|-\left\|\mathbf{y}_{i}-\mathbf{y}_{j}\right\|}{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\|}\right)^{2}
$$

## Properties of non-metric MDS

- Strengths
- Relaxes distance constraints.
- Yields nonlinear embeddings.
- Weaknesses
- Highly nonlinear, iterative optimization with local minima.
- Unclear how to choose distance transformation.

