

# Introduction to Number Theory 1

## Division

**Definition:** Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers. We say that  $a$  divides  $b$ , or  $a|b$  if  $\exists d$  s.t.  $b = ad$ . If  $b \neq 0$  then  $|a| \leq |b|$ .

**Division Theorem:** For any integer  $a$  and any positive integer  $n$ , there are unique integers  $q$  and  $r$  such that  $0 \leq r < n$  and  $a = qn + r$ .

The value  $r = a \bmod n$  is called the **remainder** or the **residue** of the division.

**Theorem:** If  $m|a$  and  $m|b$  then  $m|\alpha a + \beta b$  for any integers  $\alpha, \beta$ .

**Proof:**  $a = rm$ ;  $b = sm$  for some  $r, s$ . Therefore,  $\alpha a + \beta b = \alpha rm + \beta sm = m(\alpha r + \beta s)$ , i.e.,  $m$  divides this number. QED

## Division (cont.)

If  $n|(a - b)$ , i.e.,  $a$  and  $b$  have the same residues modulo  $n$ :  $(a \bmod n) = (b \bmod n)$ , we write  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and say that  $a$  is **congruent** to  $b$  modulo  $n$ .

The integers can be divided into  $n$  equivalence classes according to their residue modulo  $n$ :

$$[a]_n = \{a + kn : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

$$Z_n = \{[a]_n : 0 \leq a \leq n - 1\}$$

or briefly

$$Z_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$$

## Greatest Common Divisor

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers.

1.  $\gcd(a, b)$  (the **greatest common divisor** of  $a$  and  $b$ ) is

$$\gcd(a, b) \triangleq \max(d : d|a \text{ and } d|b)$$

(for  $a \neq 0$  or  $b \neq 0$ ).

Note: This definition satisfies  $\gcd(0, 1) = 1$ .

2.  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$  (the **least common multiplier** of  $a$  and  $b$ ) is

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) \triangleq \min(d > 0 : a|d \text{ and } b|d)$$

(for  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ ).

3.  $a$  and  $b$  are **coprimes** (or **relatively prime**) iff  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

## Greatest Common Divisor (cont.)

**Theorem:** Let  $a, b$  be integers, not both zero, and let  $d$  be the smallest positive element of  $S = \{ax + by : x, y \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then,  $\gcd(a, b) = d$ .

**Proof:**  $S$  contains a positive integer because  $|a| \in S$ .

By definition, there exist  $x, y$  such that  $d = ax + by$ .  $d \leq |a|$ , thus there exist  $q, r$  such that

$$a = qd + r, \quad 0 \leq r < d.$$

Thus,

$$r = a - qd = a - q(ax + by) = a(1 - qx) + b(-qy) \in S.$$

$r < d$  implies  $r = 0$ , thus  $d|a$ .

By the same arguments we get  $d|b$ .

$d|a$  and  $d|b$ , thus  $d \leq \gcd(a, b)$ .

On the other hand  $\gcd(a, b)|a$  and  $\gcd(a, b)|b$ , and thus  $\gcd(a, b)$  divides any linear combination of  $a, b$ , i.e.,  $\gcd(a, b)$  divides all elements in  $S$ , including  $d$ , and thus  $\gcd(a, b) \leq d$ . We conclude that  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . QED

## Greatest Common Divisor (cont.)

**Corollary:** For any  $a, b$ , and  $d$ , if  $d|a$  and  $d|b$  then  $d|\gcd(a, b)$ .

**Proof:**  $\gcd(a, b)$  is a linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ .

**Lemma:** For  $m \neq 0$

$$\gcd(ma, mb) = |m| \gcd(a, b).$$

**Proof:** If  $m \neq 0$  (WLOG  $m > 0$ ) then  $\gcd(ma, mb)$  is the smallest positive element in the set  $\{amx + bmy\}$ , which is  $m$  times the smallest positive element in the set  $\{ax + by\}$ .

## Greatest Common Divisor (cont.)

**Corollary:**  $a$  and  $b$  are coprimes iff

$$\exists x, y \text{ such that } xa + yb = 1.$$

**Proof:**

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ , and  $xa + yb = 1$ .  $d|a$  and  $d|b$  and therefore,  $d|1$ , and thus  $d = 1$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ )  $a$  and  $b$  are coprimes, i.e.,  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ . Using the previous theorem, 1 is the smallest positive integer in  $S = \{ax + by : x, y \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , i.e.,  $\exists x, y$  such that  $ax + by = 1$ . QED

## The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic: If  $c|ab$  and  $\gcd(b, c) = 1$  then  $c|a$ .

**Proof:** We know that  $c|ab$ . Clearly,  $c|ac$ .

Thus,

$$c \mid \gcd(ab, ac) = a \cdot \gcd(b, c) = a \cdot 1 = a.$$

QED



## Prime Numbers and Unique Factorization

**Definition:** An integer  $p \geq 2$  is called **prime** if it is divisible only by 1 and itself.

**Theorem: Unique Factorization:** Every positive number can be represented as a product of primes in a unique way, up to a permutation of the order of primes.

## Prime Numbers and Unique Factorization (cont.)

**Proof:** Every number can be represented as a product of primes, since if one element is not a prime, it can be further factored into smaller primes.

Assume that some number can be represented in two distinct ways as products of primes:

$$p_1 p_2 p_3 \cdots p_s = q_1 q_2 q_3 \cdots q_r$$

where all the factors are prime, and no  $p_i$  is equal to some  $q_j$  (otherwise discard both from the product).

Then,

$$p_1 | q_1 q_2 q_3 \cdots q_r.$$

But  $\gcd(p_1, q_1) = 1$  and thus

$$p_1 | q_2 q_3 \cdots q_r.$$

Similarly we continue till

$$p_1 | q_r.$$

Contradiction. QED

## Euclid's Algorithm

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two positive integers,  $a > b > 0$ . Then the following algorithm computes  $\gcd(a, b)$ :

$$r_{-1} = a$$

$$r_0 = b$$

for  $i$  from 1 until  $r_i = 0$

$$\exists q_i, r_i : r_{i-2} = q_i r_{i-1} + r_i \text{ and } 0 \leq r_i < r_{i-1}$$

$k=i-1$

**Example:**  $a = 53$  and  $b = 39$ .

---

$$\begin{aligned} 53 &= 1 \cdot 39 + 14 \\ 39 &= 2 \cdot 14 + 11 \\ 14 &= 1 \cdot 11 + 3 \\ 11 &= 3 \cdot 3 + 2 \\ 3 &= 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \\ 2 &= 2 \cdot 1 + 0 \end{aligned}$$

---

Thus,  $\gcd(53, 39) = 1$ .

## Extended Form of Euclid's Algorithm

**Example (cont.):**  $a = 53$  and  $b = 39$ .

---

$$\begin{aligned} 53 &= 1 \cdot 39 + 14 \Rightarrow 14 = 53 - 39 \\ 39 &= 2 \cdot 14 + 11 \Rightarrow 11 = 39 - 2 \cdot 14 = -2 \cdot 53 + 3 \cdot 39 \\ 14 &= 1 \cdot 11 + 3 \Rightarrow 3 = 14 - 1 \cdot 11 = 3 \cdot 53 - 4 \cdot 39 \\ 11 &= 3 \cdot 3 + 2 \Rightarrow 2 = 11 - 3 \cdot 3 = -11 \cdot 53 + 15 \cdot 39 \\ 3 &= 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \Rightarrow 1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2 = 14 \cdot 53 - 19 \cdot 39 \\ 2 &= 2 \cdot 1 + 0 \end{aligned}$$

---

Therefore,  $14 \cdot 53 - 19 \cdot 39 = 1$ .

We will use this algorithm later as a modular inversion algorithm, in this case we get that  $(-19) \cdot 39 \equiv 34 \cdot 39 \equiv 1 \pmod{53}$ .

Note that every  $r_i$  is written as a linear combination of  $r_{i-1}$  and  $r_{i-2}$ , and ultimately,  $r_i$  is written as a linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ .

## Proof of Euclid's Algorithm

**Claim:** The algorithm stops after at most  $O(\log a)$  steps.

**Proof:** It suffices to show that in each step  $r_i < r_{i-2}/2$ :

For  $i = 1$ :  $r_1 < b < a$  and thus in  $a = q_1b + r_1$ ,  $q_1 \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $a \geq 1b + r_1 > r_1 + r_1$ , and thus  $a/2 > r_1$ .

For  $i > 1$ :  $r_i < r_{i-1} < r_{i-2}$  and thus  $r_{i-2} = q_i r_{i-1} + r_i$ ,  $q_i \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $r_{i-2} \geq 1r_{i-1} + r_i > r_i + r_i$ , and thus  $r_{i-2}/2 > r_i$ .

After at most  $2 \log a$  steps,  $r_i$  reduces to zero. QED

## Proof of Euclid's Algorithm (cont.)

**Claim:**  $r_k = \gcd(a, b)$ .

**Proof:**

$r_k | \gcd(a, b)$ :  $r_k | r_{k-1}$  because of the stop condition.  $r_k | r_k$  and  $r_k | r_{k-1}$  and therefore  $r_k$  divides any linear combination of  $r_{k-1}$  and  $r_k$ , including  $r_{k-2}$ . Since  $r_k | r_{k-1}$  and  $r_k | r_{k-2}$ , it follows that  $r_k | r_{k-3}$ . Continuing this way, it follows that  $r_k | a$  and that  $r_k | b$ , thus  $r_k | \gcd(a, b)$ .

$\gcd(a, b) | r_k$ :  $r_k$  is a linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ ;  $\gcd(a, b) | a$  and  $\gcd(a, b) | b$ , therefore,  $\gcd(a, b) | r_k$ .

We conclude that  $r_k = \gcd(a, b)$ . QED

## Groups

A **group**  $(S, \oplus)$  is a set  $S$  with a binary operation  $\oplus$  defined on  $S$  for which the following properties hold:

1. **Closure:**  $a \oplus b \in S$  For all  $a, b \in S$ .
2. **Identity:** There is an element  $e \in S$  such that  $e \oplus a = a \oplus e = a$  for all  $a \in S$ .
3. **Associativity:**  $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in S$ .
4. **Inverses:** For each  $a \in S$  there exists an unique element  $b \in S$  such that  $a \oplus b = b \oplus a = e$ .

If a group  $(S, \oplus)$  satisfies the **commutative law**  $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$  for all  $a, b \in S$  then it is called an **Abelian group**.

**Definition:** The **order** of a group, denoted by  $|S|$ , is the number of elements in  $S$ . If a group satisfies  $|S| < \infty$  then it is called a **finite group**.

**Lemma:**  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +_n)$  is a finite Abelian **additive group** modulo  $n$ .

## Groups (cont.)

### Basic Properties:

Let:

$$a^k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k a = \underbrace{a \oplus a \oplus \dots \oplus a}_k.$$

$$a^0 = e$$

1. The identity element  $e$  in the group is unique.
2. Every element  $a$  has a **single** inverse, denoted by  $a^{-1}$ . We define  $a^{-k} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k a^{-1}$ .
3.  $a^m \oplus a^n = a^{m+n}$ .
4.  $(a^m)^n = a^{nm}$ .



## Groups (cont.)

**Definition:** The **order** of  $a$  in a group  $S$  is the least  $t > 0$  such that  $a^t = e$ , and it is denoted by  $\text{order}(a, S)$ .

For example, in the group  $(\mathbb{Z}_3, +_3)$ , the order of 2 is 3 since  $2 + 2 \equiv 4 \equiv 1$ ,  $2 + 2 + 2 \equiv 6 \equiv 0$  (and 0 is the identity in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ ).

## Subgroups

**Definition:** If  $(S, \oplus)$  is a group,  $S' \subseteq S$ , and  $(S', \oplus)$  is also a group, then  $(S', \oplus)$  is called a **subgroup** of  $(S, \oplus)$ .

**Theorem:** If  $(S, \oplus)$  is a finite group and  $S'$  is any subset of  $S$  such that  $a \oplus b \in S'$  for all  $a, b \in S'$ , then  $(S', \oplus)$  is a subgroup of  $(S, \oplus)$ .

**Example:**  $(\{0, 2, 4, 6\}, +_8)$  is a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}_8, +_8)$ , since it is closed under the operation  $+_8$ .

**Lagrange's theorem:** If  $(S, \oplus)$  is a finite group and  $(S', \oplus)$  is a subgroup of  $(S, \oplus)$  then  $|S'|$  is a divisor of  $|S|$ .

## Subgroups (cont.)

Let  $a$  be an element of a group  $S$ , denote by  $(\langle a \rangle, \oplus)$  the set:

$$\langle a \rangle = \{a^k : \text{order}(a, S) \geq k \geq 1\}$$

**Theorem:**  $\langle a \rangle$  contains  $\text{order}(a, S)$  distinct elements.

**Proof:** Assume by contradiction that there exists  $1 \leq i < j \leq \text{order}(a, S)$ , such that  $a^i = a^j$ . Therefore,  $e = a^{j-i}$  in contradiction to fact that  $\text{order}(a, S) > j - i > 0$ . QED

**Lemma:**  $\langle a \rangle$  is a subgroup of  $S$  with respect to  $\oplus$ .

We say that  $a$  **generates** the subgroup  $\langle a \rangle$  or that  $a$  is a **generator** of  $\langle a \rangle$ . Clearly, the order of  $\langle a \rangle$  equals the order of  $a$  in the group.  $\langle a \rangle$  is also called a **cyclic** group.

**Example:**  $\{0, 2, 4, 6\} \subset Z_8$  can be generated by 2 or 6.

Note that a cyclic group is always Abelian.

## Subgroups (cont.)

**Corollary:** The order of an element divides the order of group.

**Corollary:** Any group of prime order must be cyclic.

**Corollary:** Let  $S$  be a finite group, and  $a \in S$ , then  $a^{|S|} = e$ .

**Theorem:** Let  $a$  be an element in a group  $S$ , such that  $a^s = e$ , then  $\text{order}(a, S) \mid s$ .

**Proof:** Using the division theorem,  $s = q \cdot \text{order}(a, S) + r$ , where  $0 \leq r < \text{order}(a, S)$ . Therefore,

$$e = a^s = a^{q \cdot \text{order}(a, S) + r} = (a^{\text{order}(a, S)})^q \oplus a^r = a^r.$$

Due to the minimality of  $\text{order}(a, S)$ , we conclude that  $r = 0$ . QED

## Fields

**Definition:** A **Field**  $(S, \oplus, \odot)$  is a set  $S$  with two binary operations  $\oplus$  and  $\odot$  defined on  $S$  and with two special elements denoted by  $0, 1$  for which the following properties hold:

1.  $(S, \oplus)$  is an Abelian group ( $0$  is the identity with regards to  $\oplus$ ).
2.  $(S \setminus \{0\}, \odot)$  is an Abelian group ( $1$  is the identity with regards to  $\odot$ ).
3. **Distributivity:**  $a \odot (b \oplus c) = (a \odot b) \oplus (a \odot c)$ .

**Corollary:**  $\forall a \in S, a \odot 0 = 0$ .

**Proof:**  $a \odot 0 = a \odot (0 \oplus 0) = a \odot 0 \oplus a \odot 0$ , thus,  $a \odot 0 = 0$ .

**Examples:**  $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +_p, \cdot_p)$  where  $p$  is a prime.

## Inverses

**Lemma:** Let  $p$  be a prime. Then,

$$ab \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

iff

$$a \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{or} \quad b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:**

$(\Leftarrow)$  From  $p|a$  or  $p|b$  it follows that  $p|ab$ .

$(\Rightarrow)$   $p|ab$ . If  $p|a$  we are done. Otherwise,  $p \nmid a$ .

Since  $p$  a prime it follows that  $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ . Therefore,  $p|b$  (by the fundamental theorem of arithmetic). QED

## Inverses (cont.)

**Definition:** Let  $a$  be a number. If there exists  $b$  such that  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , then we call  $b$  the **inverse** of  $a$  modulo  $m$ , and write  $b \triangleq a^{-1} \pmod{m}$ .

**Theorem:** If  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$  then there exists some  $b$  such that  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

**Proof:** There exist  $x, y$  such that

$$xa + ym = 1.$$

Thus,

$$xa \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

QED

**Conclusion:**  $a$  has an inverse modulo  $m$  iff  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ . The inverse can be computed by Euclid's algorithm.

$$\underline{Z_n^*}$$

**Definition:**  $Z_n^*$  is the set of all the invertible integers modulo  $n$ :

$$Z_n^* = \{i \in Z_n \mid \gcd(i, n) = 1\}.$$

**Theorem:** For any positive  $n$ ,  $Z_n^*$  is an Abelian **multiplicative** group under multiplication modulo  $n$ .

**Proof:** Exercise.

$Z_n^*$  is also called an Euler group.

**Example:** For a prime  $p$ ,  $Z_p^* = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ .



## $Z_n^*$ (cont.)

Examples:

$$Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$$

$$Z_2^* = \{1\}$$

$$Z_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$$

$$Z_3^* = \{1, 2\}$$

$$Z_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

$$Z_4^* = \{1, 3\}$$

$$Z_5 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$Z_5^* = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$Z_1 = \{0\}$$

$$Z_1^* = \{0\} \quad !!!!!$$

## Euler's Function

**Definition:** Euler's function  $\varphi(n)$  represents the number of elements in  $Z_n^*$ :

$$\varphi(n) \triangleq |Z_n^*| = |\{i \in Z_n \mid \gcd(i, n) = 1\}|$$

$\varphi(n)$  is the number of numbers in  $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$  that are coprime to  $n$ .

Note that by this definition  $\varphi(1) \triangleq 1$  (since  $Z_1^* = \{0\}$ , which is because  $\gcd(0, 1) = 1$ ).

## Euler's Function (cont.)

**Theorem:** Let  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_l^{e_l}$  be the unique factorization of  $n$  to distinct primes. Then,

$$\varphi(n) = \prod (p_i^{e_i-1} (p_i - 1)) = n \prod \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right).$$

**Proof:** Exercise.

**Note:** If the factorization of  $n$  is not known,  $\varphi(n)$  is not known as well.

**Conclusions:** For prime numbers  $p \neq q$ , and any integers  $a$  and  $b$

1.  $\varphi(p) = p - 1$ .
2.  $\varphi(p^e) = (p - 1)p^{e-1} = p^e - p^{e-1}$ .
3.  $\varphi(pq) = (p - 1)(q - 1)$ .
4. If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  then  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ .

## Euler's Function (cont.)

**Theorem:**

$$\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n.$$

**Proof:** In this proof, we count the numbers  $1, \dots, n$  in a different order. We divide the numbers into distinct groups according to their gcd  $d'$  with  $n$ , thus the total number of elements in the groups is  $n$ .

It remains to see what is the number of numbers out of  $1, \dots, n$  whose gcd with  $n$  is  $d'$ .

Clearly, if  $d' \nmid n$ , the number is zero.

Otherwise, let  $d' | n$  and  $1 \leq a \leq n$  be a number such that  $\gcd(a, n) = d'$ . Therefore,  $a = kd'$ , for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, n/d'\}$ . Substitute  $a$  with  $kd'$ , thus  $\gcd(kd', n) = d'$ , i.e.,  $\gcd(k, n/d') = 1$ .

## Euler's Function (cont.)

It remains to see for how many  $k$ 's,  $1 \leq k \leq n/d'$ , it holds that

$$\gcd(k, n/d') = 1.$$

But this is the definition of Euler's function, thus there are  $\varphi(n/d')$  such  $k$ 's.  
Since we count each  $a$  exactly once

$$\sum_{d'|n} \varphi(n/d') = n.$$

If  $d'|n$  then also  $d = \frac{n}{d'}$  divides  $n$ , and thus we can substitute  $n/d'$  with  $d$  and get

$$\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n.$$

QED

## Euler's Theorem

**Theorem:** For any  $a$  and  $m$ , if  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$  then

$$a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

**Proof:**  $a$  is an element in the Euler group  $Z_m^*$ . Therefore, as a corollary from Lagrange Theorem,  $a^{|Z_m^*|} = a^{\varphi(m)} = 1 \pmod{m}$ . QED

## Fermat's Little Theorem

**Fermat's little theorem:** Let  $p$  be a prime number. Then, any integer  $a$  satisfies

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:** If  $p|a$  the theorem is trivial, as  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Otherwise  $p$  and  $a$  are coprimes, and thus by Euler's theorem

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

and

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}.$$

QED

## Properties of Elements in the Group $Z_m^*$

**Definition:** For  $a, m$  such that  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ , let  $h$  be the smallest integer ( $h > 0$ ) satisfying

$$a^h \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

(Such an integer exists by Euler's theorem:  $a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ ). We call  $h$  the **order of  $a$  modulo  $m$** , and write  $h = \text{order}(a, Z_m^*)$ .

Obviously, it is equivalent to the order of  $a$  in the Euler group  $Z_m^*$ .



## Properties of Elements in the Group $Z_m^*$ (cont.)

**Conclusions:** For  $a, m$  such that  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$

1. If  $a^s \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , then  $\text{order}(a, Z_m^*) \mid s$ .

2.  $\text{order}(a, Z_m^*) \mid \varphi(m)$

3. If  $m$  is a prime, then  $\text{order}(a, Z_m^*) \mid m - 1$ .

4. The numbers

$$1, a^1, a^2, a^3, \dots, a^{\text{order}(a, Z_m^*)-1}$$

are all distinct modulo  $m$ .

**Proof:** Follows from the properties of groups.

QED

## Modular Exponentiation

Given a prime  $q$  and  $a \in Z_q^*$  we want to calculate  $a^x \bmod q$ .

Denote  $x$  in binary representation as

$$x = x_{n-1}x_{n-2} \dots x_1x_0,$$

where  $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i 2^i$ .

Therefore,  $a^x \bmod q$  can be written as:

$$a^x = a^{2^{(n-1)}x_{n-1}} a^{2^{(n-2)}x_{n-2}} \dots a^{2x_1} a^{x_0}$$

# An Algorithm for Modular Exponentiation

$$a^x = a^{2^{(n-1)}x_{n-1}} a^{2^{(n-2)}x_{n-2}} \cdots a^{2x_1} a^{x_0}$$

**Algorithm:**

$r \leftarrow 1$

for  $i \leftarrow n - 1$  down to 0 do

$r \leftarrow r^2 a^{x_i} \bmod q$  ( $a^{x_i}$  is either 1 or  $a$ )

At the end

$$r = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} a^{x_i 2^i} = a^{(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i 2^i)} = a^x \pmod{q}.$$

Complexity:  $O(\log x)$  modular multiplications. For a random  $x$  this complexity is  $O(\log q)$ .

## An Algorithm for Modular Exponentiation (cont.)

An important note:

$$(xy) \bmod q = ((x \bmod q)(y \bmod q)) \bmod q,$$

i.e., the modular reduction can be performed every multiplication, or only at the end, and the results are the same.

The proof is given as an exercise.

## The Chinese Remainder Theorem

**Problem 1:** Let  $n = pq$  and let  $x \in Z_n$ . Compute  $x \bmod p$  and  $x \bmod q$ . Both are easy to compute, given  $p$  and  $q$ .

**Problem 2:** Let  $n = pq$ , let  $x \in Z_p$  and let  $y \in Z_q$ . Compute  $u \in Z_n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &\equiv x \pmod{p} \\ u &\equiv y \pmod{q}. \end{aligned}$$

## The Chinese Remainder Theorem (cont.)

**Generalization:** Given moduli  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$  and values  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$ . Compute  $u$  such that for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$$u \equiv y_i \pmod{m_i}.$$

We can assume (without loss of generality) that all the  $m_i$ 's are coprimes in pairs ( $\forall_{i \neq j} \gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$ ). (If they are not coprimes in pairs, either they can be reduced to an equivalent set in which they are coprimes in pairs, or else the system leads to a contradiction, such as  $u \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $u \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ ).

**Example:** Given the moduli  $m_1 = 11$  and  $m_2 = 13$  find a number  $u \pmod{11 \cdot 13}$  such that  $u \equiv 7 \pmod{11}$  and  $u \equiv 4 \pmod{13}$ .

**Answer:**  $u \equiv 95 \pmod{11 \cdot 13}$ . Check:  $95 = 11 \cdot 8 + 7$ ,  $95 = 13 \cdot 7 + 4$ .

## The Chinese Remainder Theorem (cont.)

**The Chinese remainder theorem:** Let  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$  be coprimes in pairs and let  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$ . Then, there is an **unique solution**  $u$  modulo  $m = \prod m_i = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k$  of the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} u &\equiv y_1 \pmod{m_1} \\ u &\equiv y_2 \pmod{m_2} \\ &\vdots \\ u &\equiv y_k \pmod{m_k}, \end{aligned}$$

and it can be **efficiently computed**.

## The Chinese Remainder Theorem (cont.)

**Example:** Let

$$u \equiv 7 \pmod{11} \qquad u \equiv 4 \pmod{13}$$

then compute

$$u \equiv ? \pmod{11 \cdot 13}.$$

Assume we found two numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$a \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \qquad a \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$$

and

$$b \equiv 0 \pmod{11} \qquad b \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$$

Then,

$$u \equiv 7a + 4b \pmod{11 \cdot 13}.$$



## The Chinese Remainder Theorem (cont.)

We remain with the problem of finding  $a$  and  $b$ . Notice that  $a$  is divisible by 13, and  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ .

Denote the inverse of 13 modulo 11 by  $c \equiv 13^{-1} \pmod{11}$ . Then,

$$13c \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$$

$$13c \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$$

We conclude that

$$a \equiv 13c \equiv 13(13^{-1} \pmod{11}) \pmod{11 \cdot 13}$$

and similarly

$$b \equiv 11(11^{-1} \pmod{13}) \pmod{11 \cdot 13}$$

Thus,

$$u \equiv 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 6 + 4 \cdot 11 \cdot 6 \equiv 810 \equiv 95 \pmod{11 \cdot 13}$$

## The Chinese Remainder Theorem (cont.)

**Proof:**  $m/m_i$  and  $m_i$  are coprimes, thus  $m/m_i$  has an inverse modulo  $m_i$ .  
Denote

$$l_i \equiv (m/m_i)^{-1} \pmod{m_i}$$

and

$$b_i = l_i(m/m_i).$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_i &\equiv 1 \pmod{m_i} \\ b_i &\equiv 0 \pmod{m_j}, \quad \forall j \neq i \quad (\text{since } m_j | (m/m_i)). \end{aligned}$$

The solution is

$$\begin{aligned} u &\equiv y_1 b_1 + y_2 b_2 + \cdots + y_k b_k \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^m y_i b_i \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

## The Chinese Remainder Theorem (cont.)

We still have to show that the solution is unique modulo  $m$ . By contradiction, we assume that there are two distinct solutions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ ,  $u_1 \not\equiv u_2 \pmod{m}$ . But any modulo  $m_i$  satisfy  $u_1 - u_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m_i}$ , and thus

$$m_i \mid u_1 - u_2.$$

Since  $m_i$  are pairwise coprimes we conclude that

$$m = \prod m_i \mid u_1 - u_2$$

which means that

$$u_1 - u_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

Contradiction. QED

$$\underline{Z_{ab}^* \equiv Z_a^* \times Z_b^*}$$

Consider the homomorphism  $\Psi : Z_{ab}^* \rightarrow Z_a^* \times Z_b^*$ ,  
 $\Psi(u) = (\alpha = u \bmod a, \beta = u \bmod b)$ .

**Lemma:**  $u \in Z_{ab}^*$  iff  $\alpha \in Z_a^*$  and  $\beta \in Z_b^*$ , i.e.,  
 $\gcd(ab, u) = 1$  iff  $\gcd(a, u) = 1$  and  $\gcd(b, u) = 1$ .

**Proof:**

$(\Rightarrow)$  Trivial ( $k_1ab + k_2u = 1$  for some  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ ).

$(\Leftarrow)$  By the assumptions there exist some  $k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4$  such that

$$k_1a + k_2u = 1 \text{ and } k_3b + k_4u = 1.$$

Thus,

$$k_1a(k_3b + k_4u) + k_2u = 1$$

from which we get

$$k_1k_3ab + (k_1k_4a + k_2)u = 1.$$

QED

$$\underline{Z_{ab}^* \equiv Z_a^* \times Z_b^* \text{ (cont.)}}$$

**Lemma:**  $\Psi$  is onto.

**Proof:** Choose any  $\alpha \in Z_a^*$  and any  $\beta \in Z_b^*$ , we can reconstruct  $u$ , using the Chinese remainder theorem, and  $u \in Z_{ab}^*$  from previous lemma.

**Lemma:**  $\Psi$  is one to one.

**Proof:** Assume to the contrary that for  $\alpha \in Z_a^*$  and  $\beta \in Z_b^*$  there are  $u_1 \not\equiv u_2 \pmod{ab}$ . This is a contradiction to the uniqueness of the solution of the Chinese remainder theorem.

QED

We conclude from the Chinese remainder theorem and these two Lemmas that  $Z_{ab}^*$  is 1-1 related to  $Z_a^* \times Z_b^*$ .

For every  $\alpha \in Z_a^*$  and  $\beta \in Z_b^*$  there exists a unique  $u \in Z_{ab}^*$  such that  $u \equiv \alpha \pmod{a}$  and  $u \equiv \beta \pmod{b}$ , and vice versa.

**Note:** This can be used to construct an alternative proof for  $\varphi(pq) = \varphi(p)\varphi(q)$ , where  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ .

## Lagrange's Theorem

**Theorem:** A polynomial of degree  $n > 0$

$$f(x) = x^n + c_1x^{n-1} + c_2x^{n-2} + \dots + c_{n-1}x + c_n$$

has at most  $n$  distinct roots modulo a prime  $p$ .

**Proof:** It is trivial for  $n = 1$ .

By induction:

Assume that any polynomial of degree  $n - 1$  has at most  $n - 1$  roots. Let  $a$  be a root of  $f(x)$ , i.e.,  $f(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

We can write

$$f(x) = (x - a)f_1(x) + r \pmod{p}$$

for some polynomial  $f_1(x)$  and constant  $r$  (this is a division of  $f(x)$  by  $(x - a)$ ).

Since  $f(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  then  $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and we get

$$f(x) = (x - a)f_1(x) \pmod{p}.$$

Thus, any root  $b \neq a$  of  $f(x)$  is also a root of  $f_1(x)$ :

$$0 \equiv f(b) \equiv (b - a)f_1(b) \pmod{p}$$

## Lagrange's Theorem (cont.)

which causes

$$f_1(b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

$f_1$  is of degree  $n - 1$ , and thus has at most  $n - 1$  roots. Together with  $a$ ,  $f$  has at most  $n$  roots. QED

**Note:** Lagrange's Theorem does not hold for composites, for example:

$$x^2 - 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{35}$$

has 4 roots: 2, 12, 23 and 33.

## Generators

**Definition:**  $a$  is called a **generator** of  $Z_n^*$  if  $\text{order}(a, Z_n^*) = \varphi(n)$ .

Not all groups possess generators. If  $Z_n^*$  possesses a generator  $g$ , then  $Z_n^*$  is **cyclic**.

If  $g$  is a generator of  $Z_n^*$  and  $a$  is any element of  $Z_n^*$  then there exists a  $z$  such that  $g^z \equiv a \pmod{n}$ . This  $z$  is called the **discrete logarithm** or **index** of  $a$  modulo  $n$  to the base  $g$ . We denote this value as  $\text{ind}_{n,g}(a)$  or  $\text{DLOG}_{n,g}(a)$ .



## The Number of Generators

**Theorem:** Let  $h$  be the order of  $a$  modulo  $m$ . Let  $s$  be an integer such that  $\gcd(h, s) = 1$ , then the order of  $a^s$  modulo  $m$  is also  $h$ .

**Proof:** Denote the order of  $a$  by  $h$  and the order of  $a^s$  by  $h'$ .

$$(a^s)^h \equiv (a^h)^s \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

Thus,  $h' | h$ .

On the other hand,

$$a^{sh'} \equiv (a^s)^{h'} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

and thus  $h | sh'$ . Since  $\gcd(h, s) = 1$  then  $h | h'$ .

**QED**

## The Number of Generators (cont.)

**Theorem:** Let  $p$  be a prime and  $d|p-1$ . The number of integers in  $Z_p^*$  of order  $d$  is  $\varphi(d)$ .

**Proof:** Denote the number of integers in  $Z_p^*$  which are of order  $d$  by  $\psi(d)$ . We should prove that  $\psi(d) = \varphi(d)$ .

Assume that  $\psi(d) \neq 0$ , and let  $a \in Z_p^*$  have an order  $d$  ( $a^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ).

The equation  $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  has the following solutions

$$1 \equiv a^d, a^1, a^2, a^3, \dots, a^{d-1},$$

all of which are distinct.

We know that  $x \equiv a^i \pmod{p}$  has an order of  $d$  iff  $\gcd(i, d) = 1$ , and thus the number of solutions with order  $d$  is  $\psi(d) = \varphi(d)$ .

## The Number of Generators (cont.)

We should show that the equality holds even if  $\psi(d) = 0$ . Each of the integers in  $Z_p^* = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p-1\}$  has some order  $d|p-1$ . Thus, the sum of  $\psi(d)$  for all the orders  $d|p-1$  equals  $|Z_p^*|$ :

$$\sum_{d|p-1} \psi(d) = p-1.$$

As we know that  $\sum_{d|p-1} \varphi(d) = p-1$ , it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{d|p-1} (\varphi(d) - \psi(d)) = \\ &= \sum_{d|p-1, \psi(d)=0} (\varphi(d) - \psi(d)) + \sum_{d|p-1, \psi(d) \neq 0} (\varphi(d) - \psi(d)) = \\ &= \sum_{d|p-1, \psi(d)=0} \varphi(d) + \sum_{d|p-1, \psi(d) \neq 0} 0 = \sum_{d|p-1, \psi(d)=0} \varphi(d) \end{aligned}$$

## The Number of Generators (cont.)

Since  $\varphi(d) \geq 0$ , then  $\psi(d) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(d) = 0$ . We conclude that for any  $d$ :

$$\psi(d) = \varphi(d).$$

QED

## The Number of Generators (cont.)

**Conclusion:** Let  $p$  be a prime. There are  $\varphi(p-1)$  elements in  $Z_p^*$  of order  $p-1$  (i.e., all of them are generators).

Therefore,  $Z_p^*$  is cyclic.

**Theorem:** The values of  $n > 1$  for which  $Z_n^*$  is cyclic are  $2, 4, p^e$  and  $2p^e$  for all odd primes  $p$  and all positive integers  $e$ .

**Proof:** Exercise.

## Wilson's Theorem

**Wilson's theorem:** Let  $p$  be a prime.

$$1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-1) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:** Clearly it holds for  $p = 2$ . It suffices thus to prove it for  $p \geq 3$ .

Let  $g$  be a generator of  $Z_p^*$ . Then,

$$Z_p^* = \{1, g, g^2, g^3, \dots, g^{p-2}\}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-1) &\equiv 1 \cdot g \cdot g^2 \cdot g^3 \cdot \dots \cdot g^{p-2} \\ &\equiv g^{(p-2)(p-1)/2} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

## Wilson's Theorem (cont.)

If  $g^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-1) &\equiv g^{(p-2)(p-1)/2} \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv (-1)^{p-2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that  $g^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . From Euler theorem it follows that

$$g^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus,

$$0 \equiv g^{p-1} - 1 \equiv (g^{(p-1)/2} + 1)(g^{(p-1)/2} - 1) \pmod{p}.$$

$g^{(p-1)/2} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  since  $\text{order}(g, Z_p^*) = p-1$  (and  $p$  is odd), and thus it must be that  $g^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

**QED**